

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,253	SAMSOONDAR, JAMES	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:

 (1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

 (2) Dawn Russell (Appl. Rep.).
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 19 May 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

4, 12, 13, 19, 20, 33, 43, 44

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Various changes in the claims were agreed upon in order to further clarify the claims and place them in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment. In addition, Applicant's Representative confirmed that her name was legally changed from Dawn Hayes to Dawn Russell during the prosecution to this application. In addition, the registration numbers for Dawn Hayes and Dawn Russell are the same, indicating that the same attorney is of record in this instant application.